U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE SPECIES ASSESSMENT AND LISTING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT FORM

SCIENTIFIC NAME: Melicope makahae
COMMON NAME: Alani
LEAD REGION: Region 1
INFORMATION CURRENT AS OF: July 2005
STATUS/ACTION
Species assessment - determined species did not meet the definition of endangered or threatened under the Act and, therefore, was not elevated to Candidate status New candidate
X Continuing candidate
Non-petitioned
X Petitioned - Date petition received: May 11, 2004
_ 90-day positive - FR date:
X 12-month warranted but precluded - FR date: May 11, 2005
N Did the petition request a reclassification of a listed species? FOR PETITIONED CANDIDATE SPECIES:
a. Is listing warranted (if yes, see summary of threats below)? <u>yes</u>
b. To date, has publication of a proposal to list been precluded by other higher priority
listing actions? <u>yes</u>
c. If the answer to a. and b. is "yes", provide an explanation of why the action is
precluded. We find that the immediate issuance of a proposed rule and timely
promulgation of a final rule for this species has been, for the preceding 12 months, and
continues to be, precluded by higher priority listing actions. During the past 12 months,
most of our national listing budget has been consumed by work on various listing actions
to comply with court orders and court-approved settlement agreements, meeting statutory
deadlines for petition findings or listing determinations, emergency listing evaluations
and determinations and essential litigation-related, administrative, and program
management tasks. We will continue to monitor the status of this species as new information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is
warranted, including the need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures. For
information on listing actions taken over the past 12 months, see the discussion of
"Progress on Revising the Lists," in the current CNOR which can be viewed on our
Internet website (http://endangered.fws.gov).
Listing priority change
Former LP:
New LP:
Date when the species first became a Candidate (as currently defined): 1997
Candidate removal: Former LP:
A – Taxon is more abundant or widespread than previously believed or not subject to

tl	he degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or
c	continuance of candidate status.
U -	Taxon not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a
p	proposed listing or continuance of candidate status due, in part or totally, to
c	conservation efforts that remove or reduce the threats to the species.
F - 1	Range is no longer a U.S. territory.
I – I	nsufficient information exists on biological vulnerability and threats to support
li	isting.
M -	Taxon mistakenly included in past notice of review.
N -	Taxon does not meet the Act's definition of "species."
	Taxon believed to be extinct.

ANIMAL/PLANT GROUP AND FAMILY: Flowering plants, Rutaceae (Rue family)

HISTORICAL STATES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, island of Oahu

CURRENT STATES/ COUNTIES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, island of Oahu

LAND OWNERSHIP: *Melicope makahae* occurs on City and County of Honolulu, State, and Federal lands.

LEAD REGION CONTACT: Paul Phifer, 503-872-2823, paul_phifer@fws.gov

LEAD FIELD OFFICE CONTACT: Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, Christa Russell, 808-792-9400, christa_russell@fws.gov

BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION:

<u>Species Description</u> *Melicope makahae* is a shrub or shrubby tree up to 3 meters (m) (10 feet (ft)) tall. New growth and young branches are densely puberulent. Leaves are green on the upper surface while the lower surface is grayish green, opposite, leathery and narrowly ovate to elliptic. Male or female flowers have grayish pubescence and are borne in groups of 1 to 7 on cymes. Fruits are dark green with two seeds per follicle. *Melicope makahae* is characterized by its combination of glabrous exocarp and endocarp and sparsely to densely puberulent sepals (Stone *et al.* 1999).

<u>Taxonomy</u> *Melicope makahae* was described by B. Stone. This species is recognized as a distinct taxon in Stone *et al.* (1999) and Wagner and Herbst (2003), the most recently accepted Hawaiian plant taxonomy.

<u>Habitat</u> *Melicope makahae* is typically found in mesic forest at elevations between 670 and 1,220 m (2,200 to 4,000 ft) (Stone *et al.* 1999).

Historical and Current Range/Current Status Melicope makahae was historically found

throughout the central Waianae Mountains, on the island of Oahu. Currently *Melicope makahae* is known from three populations on three discrete ridges, totaling approximately 200 individuals (Joel Lau, Hawaii Natural Heritage Program, pers. comm. 1996; Ken Wood, National Tropical Botanical Garden, pers. comm. 1999; Kapua Kawelo, U.S. Army, pers. comm. 2005). While we do not know of any surveys or long-term trends since this information was provided, it is reasonable to assume the populations have continued to decline, since not all of the threats are being managed throughout all of its range.

THREATS:

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range. This species is highly and imminently threatened by feral goats (*Capra hircus*) that degrade and destroy habitat (J. Lau, pers. comm. 1996). The goat, a species originally native to the Middle East and India, was successfully introduced to the Hawaiian Islands in 1792. Currently, populations exist on Kauai, Oahu, Maui, and Hawaii. Goats browse on introduced grasses and native plants, especially in drier and more open ecosystems. Feral goats eat native vegetation, trample roots and seedlings, cause erosion, and promote the invasion of alien plants. They are able to forage in extremely rugged terrain and have a high reproductive capacity (Clarke and Cuddihy 1980; van Riper and van Riper 1982; Scott *et al.* 1986; Tomich 1986; Culliney 1988; Cuddihy and Stone 1990). No known conservation measures have been implemented to date to address this threat.

B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes. None known.

C. Disease or predation.

Disease is not known to be a significant threat to this species. However, a tiny beetle, the black twig borer (*Xylosandrus compactus*) is known to infest a wide variety of common plant taxa, including *Melicope* in the Koolau mountains on Oahu (Davis 1970). The black twig borer burrows into branches, introduces a pathogenic fungus as food for its larvae, and lays its eggs. Twigs, branches, and even entire plants can be killed from an infestation. In the Hawaiian Islands, the black twig borer has many hosts, disperses easily, and is probably present at most elevations up to 762 m (2,500 ft) (Howarth 1985). The black twig borer occurs throughout the Waianae mountains and may pose a threat to all *Melicope makahae* plants that occur there. Currently, there is no effectively known control method for this threat.

Because Hawaii's native plants evolved without any browsing or grazing mammals present, many lost natural defenses to such impacts (Carlquist 1980, Lamoureux 1994). Browsing by ungulates has been observed on many other native species, including common and rare or endangered species (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Loope *et al.* 1991). Therefore, even though there are no observations of browsing for this species, it is likely that goats impact this species directly as well as their indirect impacts to the surrounding habitat.

D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms.

Goats are managed in Hawaii as a game animal, but many herds populate inaccessible areas where hunting is difficult, if not impossible, and therefore has little effect on their numbers

(Hawaii Heritage Program 1990). Goat hunting is allowed year-round or during certain months, depending on the area (Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources n.d.-a, n.d.-b, n.d.-c). However, public hunting does not adequately control the number of ungulates to eliminate this threat to native plant species. No other known conservation measures have been implemented to date to address this threat.

E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

Alien plant species threaten this species (J. Lau, pers. comm. 1996; John Obtata, pers. comm. 1996). Although the exact pest species that threaten this plant have not been identified, alien pest plants are found throughout the areas where this species occurs. The original native flora of Hawaii consisted of about 1,400 species, nearly 90 percent of which were endemic. Of the total native and naturalized Hawaiian flora of 1,817 taxa, 47 percent were introduced from other parts of the world, and nearly 100 species have become pests (Smith 1985; Wagner et al. 1999a). Confirmed personal observations (J. Lau, pers. comm. 1996; John Obtata, pers. comm. 1996) and several studies (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Wood and Perlman 1997; Robichaux et al. 1998) indicate nonnative plant species may outcompete native plants similar to Melicope makahae. Competition may be for space, light, water, or nutrients, or there may be a chemical inhibition of other plants (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990). In addition, nonnative pest plants found in habitat similar to that of this species have been shown to make the habitat less suitable for native species (Smathers and Gardner 1978; Smith 1985; Loope and Medeiros 1992; Medeiros et al. 1992; Ellshoff et al. 1995; Meyer and Florence 1996; Medeiros et al. 1997; Loope et al. 2004). In particular, alien pest plant species modify habitat by modifying availability of light, altering soil-water regimes, modifying nutrient cycling, or altering fire characteristics of native plant communities (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Vitousek et al. 1987). Because of demonstrated habitat modification and resource competition by nonnative plant species in habitat similar to the mesic forest habitat of M. makahae, the Service believes nonnative plant species are a threat to M. makahae. Currently, many widespread alien plant taxa cannot be completely eradicated from Oahu, and therefore are expected to continue dispersing into previously managed areas (Loope 1998, Smith 1985). No known conservation measures have been implemented to date to address this threat.

CONSERVATION MEASURES PLANNED OR IMPLEMENTED None known.

SUMMARY OF THREATS:

The major threats to this species include goats and nonnative plant species. The black twig borer may also be a potential threat. No conservation efforts have been initiated to date.

LISTING PRIORITY

THREAT			
Magnitude	Immediacy	Taxonomy	Priority
High	Imminent	Monotypic genus	1

	Non-imminent	Species Subspecies/population Monotypic genus Species Subspecies/population	2* 3 4 5 6
Moderate to Low	Imminent Non-imminent	Monotypic genus Species Subspecies/population Monotypic genus Species Subspecies/population	7 8 9 10 11 12

Rationale for listing priority number:

Magnitude:

This species is highly threatened by goats that degrade and destroy habitat, nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients, and the black twig borer. Threats to the mesic forest habitat of *Melicope makahae* and to individuals of this species occur throughout its range and are expected to continue or increase without control or eradication. No conservation efforts have been initiated to date.

Imminence:

Threats to *Melicope makahae* from goats, nonnative plants, and the black twig borer are imminent because they are ongoing.

<u>Yes</u> Have you promptly reviewed all of the information received regarding the species for the purpose of determining whether emergency listing is needed?

Is Emergency Listing Warranted? No. The species does not appear to be appropriate for emergency listing at this time because the immediacy of the threats is not so great as to imperil a significant proportion of the taxon within the time frame of the routine listing process. If it becomes apparent that the routine listing process is not sufficient to prevent large losses that may result in this species' extinction, then the emergency rule process for this species will be initiated. We will continue to monitor the status of *Melicope makahae* as new information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is warranted, including the need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures.

DESCRIPTION OF MONITORING:

Much of the information in this form is based on the results of a meeting of 20 botanical experts held by the Center for Plant Conservation in December of 1995, and was updated by personal communication with Joel Lau of the Hawaii Natural Heritage Program in 1996, John Obata, highly respected amateur botanist in 1996, and Ken Wood of the National Tropical Botanical Garden in 1999. We have incorporated additional information on this species from our files and the most recent supplement to the *Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii* (Wagner and Herbst 2003). In 2004, the Pacific Islands office contacted the following species experts: Bob

Hobdy, retired from Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife; Joel Lau, Hawaii Natural Heritage Program; Art Medeiros, U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline; Hank Oppenheimer, resource manager for Maui Land and Pineapple Company; and Steve Perlman and Ken Wood, National Tropical Botanical Garden. No new information was provided. In 2005 we contacted the species experts listed below and confirmation of the status information was provided by Kapua Kawelo, U.S. Army.

The Hawaii Natural Heritage Program identified this species as critically imperiled (Hawaii Natural Heritage Program Database 2004). Based on the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources Red Plant Data Book rarity categories, this species is recognized as Endangered (at risk of extinction) by Wagner *et al.* (1999b).

One species expert provided new information confirming the status of the species this year and the results are included in this assessment.

COORDINATION WITH STATES

In October 2004 we provided the Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife with copies of our most recent candidate assessments for their review and comment. Vickie Caraway, the State botanist, reviewed the information for this species and provided no additional information or corrections (V. Caraway, pers. comm. 2005).

LITERATURE CITED

List all experts contacted:

Name	Date	Place of Employment	
1. Joel Lau	June 28, 2005	Hawaii Natural Heritage Program	
2. Art Medeiros	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline	
3. Jim Jacobi	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline	
4. Rick Warshauer	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline	
5. Hank Oppenheimer	June 28, 2005	Maui Land and Pineapple Company	
6. Kapua Kawelo*	June 28, 2005	U.S. Army	
7. Dave Lorence	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden	
8. Steve Perlman	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden	
9. Ken Wood	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden	
10. Marie Bruegmann	July 13, 2005	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	
11. Vickie Caraway	June 14, 2005	Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife	
*Provided new information on this taxon in 2005			

List all databases searched:

Name Date

1. Hawaii Natural Heritage Program 2004

Other resources utilized:

Carlquist, S. 1980. Hawaii: A natural history, 2nd edition. Pacific Tropical Botanical Garden, Honolulu. 468 pp.

Center for Biological Diversity, Dr. Jane Goodall, Dr. E.O. Wilson, Dr. Paul Ehrlich, Dr. John

- Terborgh, Dr. Niles Eldridge, Dr. Thomas Eisner, Dr. Robert Hass, Barbara Kingsolver, Charles Bowden, Martin Sheen, the Xerces Society, and the Biodiversity Conservation Alliance. 2004. Hawaiian Plants: petitions to list as federally endangered species. May 4, 2004.
- Clarke, G., and L.W. Cuddihy. 1980. A botanical reconnaissance of the Na Pali coast trail: Kee Beach to Kalalau Valley (April 9-11, 1980). Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Department of Land and Natural Resources, Hilo, Hawaii.
- Corn, C.A., G. Clarke, L. Cuddihy, and L. Yoshida. 1979. A botanical reconnaissance of Kalalau, Honopu, Awaawapuhi, Nualolo and Milolii Valleys and shorelines--Na Pali, Kauai. Unpublished report. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Department of Land and Natural Resources, Endangered Species Program, Honolulu. 14 pp.
- Cuddihy, L.W., and C.P. Stone. 1990. Alteration of native Hawaiian vegetation; effects of humans, their activities and introductions. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Hawaii. 138 pp.
- Culliney, J.L. 1988. Islands in a far sea; nature and man in Hawaii. Sierra Club Books, San Francisco. 410 pp.
- Davis, C.J. 1970. Black twig borer threatens native trees. Newsl. Hawaiian Bot. Soc. 9:38-39.
- Ellshoff, Z.E., D.E. Gardner, C. Wikler, and C.W. Smith. 1995. Annotated bibliography of the genus *Psidium*, with emphasis on *P. cattleianum* (strawberry guava) and *P. guajava* (common guava), forest weeds in Hawai`i. Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii. Technical Report 95.
- Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-a. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Oahu. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.
- Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-b. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Molokai. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.
- Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-c. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Maui. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.
- Hawaii Heritage Program, The Nature Conservancy of Hawaii. 1990. Management recommendations for Na Pali Coast State Park, island of Kauai. Unpublished report prepared for Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources, Division of State Parks, Honolulu. 18 pp.
- Lamoureux, C.H. 1994. Conserving Hawaiian biodiversity the role of Hawaiian botanical gardens. Pp. 55-57. In: C.-I Peng and C.H. Chou (eds.). Biodiversity and Terrestrial Ecosystems. Institute of Botany, Academia Sinica Monograph Series No. 14.
- Loope, L.L., A.C. Medeiros, and B.H. Gagné. 1991. Recovery of Vegetation of a montane bog following protection from feral pig rooting. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Studies Unit, Univ. Hawaii/Manoa, Dept. Of Botany, Tech. Rept. 77.
- Loope, L.L. and A.C. Medeiros. 1992. A new and invasive grass on Maui. Newsletter of the Hawaiian Botanical Society 31: 7-8.
- Loope, L., F. Starr and K. Starr. 2004. Management and research for protecting endangered Hawaiian plant species from displacement by invasive plants on Maui, Hawaii. Weed Technology 18: 1472-1474.

- Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, P. Conant and S. McElvaney. 1997. Status, ecology, and management of the invasive plant, *Miconia calvescens* DC (Melastomataceae) in the Hawaiian Islands. Bishop Mus. Occas. Pap.48: 23-36.
- Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, T. Flynn, S.J. Anderson, L.W. Cuddihy, and K.A. Wilson. 1992. Notes on the status of an invasive Australian tree fern (*Cyathea cooperi*) in Hawaiian rain forests. American Fern Journal 82: 27-33.
- Meyer, J.-Y. and J. Florence. 1996. Tahiti's native flora endangered by the invasion of *Miconia calvescens* D.C. (Melastomataceae). Journal of Biogeography 23: 775-781.
- Robichaux, R., J. Canfield, F. R. Warshauer, L. Perry, M. Bruegmann, and G. Carr. 1998. Adaptive Radiation. Endangered Species Bulletin. November/December.
- Scott, J.M., S. Mountainspring, F.L. Ramsey, and C.B. Kepler. 1986. Forest bird communities of the Hawaiian Islands: Their dynamics, ecology, and conservation. Studies in Avian Biology 9:1-429. Cooper Ornithological Society, Los Angeles.
- Smathers, G.A. and D.E. Gardner. 1978. Stand analysis of an invading firetree (*Myrica faya* Aiton) population, Hawai`i. Proceeding of the Second Conference on Natural Science, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, pp. 274-288.
- Smith, C.W. 1985. Impact of alien plants on Hawai`i's native biota: *In* Stone, C.P., and J.M. Scott (eds.), Hawai`i's terrestrial ecosystems: preservation and management. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, pp. 180-250.
- Stone, B.D., W.L. Wagner, and D.R. Herbst. Rutaceae: *In* Wagner, W.L., D.R. Herbst, and S.H. Sohmer, Manual of the flowering plants of Hawai'i. University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu. Bishop Mus. Spec. Publ. 97: 1174-1216.
- Tomich, P.Q. 1986. Mammals in Hawai`i; a synopsis and notational bibliography. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu. 375 pp.
- van Riper, S.G., and C. van Riper III. 1982. A field guide to the mammals in Hawaii. The Oriental Publishing Company, Honolulu. 68 pp.
- Vitousek, P.M., C.M. D'Antonio, L.L. Loope, M. Rejnanek, and R. Westerbrooks. 1997. Introduced species: a significant component of human-caused global change. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 21(1): 1-16.
- Wagner, W.L., D.R. Herbst, and S.H. Sohmer. 1999a. Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai'i, Bishop Mus. Spec. Publ. 97: 1-1918. University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu.
- Wagner, W.L., M.M. Bruegmann, and J.Q.C. Lau. 1999b. Hawaiian vascular plants at risk: 1999. Bishop Mus. Occas. Pap. 60: 1-58.
- Wagner, W.L. and D.R. Herbst. 2003. Electronic supplement to the manual of flowering plants of Hawai'i, version 3.1. December 12, 2003. Available from the Internet. URL: http://rathbun.si.edu/botany/pacificislandbiodiversity/hawaiianflora/supplement.htm.
- Wenkam, R. 1969. Kauai and the park country of Hawaii. Sierra Club, San Francisco. 160 pp.
- Wood, K.R. and S. Perlman. 1997. Maui 14 plant survey final report. Submitted by National Tropical Botanical Garden, October, 1997.

APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE: Lead Regions must obtain written concurrence from all other Regions within the range of the species before recommending changes to the candidate list, including listing priority changes; the Regional Director must approve all such recommendations. The Director must concur on all 12-month petition findings, additions of species to the candidate list, removal of candidate species, and listing priority changes.

Approve:	Regional Director, Fish and Wildlif	re Service Date
	Marchall Smoote	
Concur:	Director, Fish and Wildlife Service	<u>August 23, 2006</u> Date
Do not concur	: Director, Fish and Wildlife Service	Date
	l review: <u>September 20, 2005</u> <u>Marie M. Bruegmann, Pacific Island</u> Plant Recovery Coordinator	ds FWO
Comments: PIFWO Revie	<u>w</u>	
Reviewed by:	Christa Russell Plant Conservation Program Leader	Date: September 23, 2005
	Gina Shultz Assistant Field Supervisor, Endangered Species	Date: October 14, 2005
	Patrick Leonard Field Supervisor	Date: October 14, 2005